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Purpose:
Over the last 10 years there has been increased interest in applying foliar fungicides to soybeans in Ontario. Liquid in-furrow fungicide application in soybeans is also becoming more popular in some US states. Many trials have been conducted in Ontario to assess the yield and seed quality benefits of foliar fungicides. Very little work has been conducted to evaluate in-furrow liquid fungicides. Across 7 years of Ontario trials, the average yield response to application of foliar fungicides has been 2.3 bushels/acre. The use of fungicides in soybeans has shown improvements in seed size and quality where there is significant disease pressure.

In 2012 Dr. David Hooker (U of G, Ridgetown) conducted trials to re-evaluate the correct timing of foliar fungicides for maximum economic return. The trial results were surprising in that soybeans responded more when sprayed relatively early in the season. An application at the R 2.5 growth stage (full flower) provided more yield than at later (pod stages), which are the more typical spray timing recommendations. A new foliar fungicide (Priaxor) has also been shown to provide greater yield response than Headline and was tested in this project. These trials were designed to assess the best fit for fungicides on soybeans.

Methods:
In order to determine the best management practice for fungicide application timing, a number of field scale trials were conducted in the 2014 growing season. The treatment list, tested on two varieties at each study location, was used to evaluate the use of in-furrow liquid Priaxor fungicide on soybeans in addition to foliar application timings and tank mixes.

In-furrow application of fungicide was put on at seeding time using Keaton seed firmers and a liquid fertilizer application system attached to a Kearney custom planter in 15” rows. Foliar application of fungicides was completed by a custom spray applicator at the various plant growth stages indicated in the treatment list; KP Plus (0-51-33) was tank mixed and applied with the fungicide on the treatments indicated.

Trial sites were established at three locations in 2014, Bornholm (Perth), Lucan (Middlesex) and St. Thomas (Elgin); soybean varieties included Dekalb 28-60 RY and Pioneer 91Y01, 9905 and Dekalb 28-60RY, Pioneer 91Y01 and Pioneer P16T04R, respectively at each location.

Results:
The 2014 growing season proved to be a challenging one. Wet conditions were typical in most areas of Ontario and persisted throughout the growing season. The temperature was slightly below average; however, the conditions were favourable for disease incidence in soybean fields. White mould was discovered in both the St. Thomas and Perth sites, but was not observed in the Lucan location.
Figure 1. White Mold observed at test sites at St. Thomas and Bornholm

Table #1. Average Soybean Yield Response to Fungicide Application in 2014 (All Sties and Varieties)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trt #</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Yield Response (bu/ac)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Untreated Control</td>
<td>49.1 de</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Priaxor In-furrow</td>
<td>48.6 de</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Priaxor V6</td>
<td>47.8 e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Priaxor R2</td>
<td>50.9 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Priaxor R4</td>
<td>50.9 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Priaxor R2 + KP Plus</td>
<td>49.4 cde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Priaxor R4 + KP Plus</td>
<td>51.8 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>In-furrow Priaxor + Priaxor R2</td>
<td>49.5 cd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>In-furrow Priaxor + Priaxor R2 + Acapela R4</td>
<td>54.3 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1, above, shows that a positive yield response of about 1.8 bu/ac was observed when Priaxor was applied at the R2 or R4 soybean growth stage. There was no statistical yield advantage to adding the KP Plus foliar fertilizer although there was a small numerical advantage at the R4 growth stage. These results concur with previous research completed in Ontario that showed about a 2 bu/ac average yield gain when applying one application of foliar fungicides.

The greatest yield response was observed in the most intensive treatment, which included an in-furrow and foliar R2 application of Priaxor, with an additional foliar
application of Acapela at the R4 growth stage. The average yield increase observed across all sites and varieties was 5.2 bu/ac. The in-furrow portion of the treatment likely did not have an impact on the yield since that treatment by itself (treatment #2) did not significantly change yields.

There was no statistical change in yield when the fungicide was applied solely in-furrow, or at the V6 growth stage.

Summary:
1. Positive yield responses were observed when fungicides were applied at the R2 and R4 growth stages. The yield responses on average were about the same at 1.8 bu/ac.
2. There was no yield gain observed when fungicides were applied very early, either in-furrow at the time of planting, or at the V6 growth stages. This indicates that applying foliar fungicides in combination with herbicides is not beneficial.
3. Tank mixing fungicides with foliar fertilizer did not improve yield in this study.
4. Yields response varied based on location, with St. Thomas and Perth locations having much higher response than the Lucan location. This is likely due to the presence of white mould and higher disease incidence observed at the St. Thomas and Perth locations during the course of the growing season.

Acknowledgements:
We would like to thank the cooperators who lent their time and land to the project. We would also like to thank Pioneer, Dekalb and BASF for materials provided for this project. The access to tractors for these field projects from John Deere is greatly appreciated. This project was conducted in Partnership with Dr. David Hooker (U of G).

Project Contacts:
Horst Bohner, OMAFRA, horst.bohner@ontario.ca